

Chapter 13

Coherent Sheaves and Vector Bundles

In this chapter, k is an arbitrary field.

a. Coherent sheaves

13.1. Let V be a k -ringed space. Suppose that, for each open subset U of V , we have an $\mathcal{O}_V(U)$ -module $\mathcal{M}(U)$ and, for each pair of open subsets $U' \subset U$, a “restriction” map $\text{res}_{U',U} : \mathcal{M}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(U')$ compatible with the module structures. The system is a **sheaf of \mathcal{O}_V -modules** if (a) $U \mapsto \mathcal{M}(U)$ is a functor from the category of open subsets of V and (b) \mathcal{M} satisfies the sheaf condition. The first condition means that

$$\begin{cases} \text{res}_{U,U} \text{ is the identity map} & \text{for } U \subset U, \\ \text{res}_{U'',U'} \circ \text{res}_{U',U} = \text{res}_{U'',U} & \text{for } U'' \subset U' \subset U. \end{cases}$$

The second condition means that, for any open covering $U = \bigcup U_i$ of an open subset U ,

$$\mathcal{M}(U) \simeq \{(m_i) \in \prod \mathcal{M}(U_i) \mid \text{res}_{U_i \cap U_j, U_i}(m_i) = \text{res}_{U_i \cap U_j, U_j}(m_j) \text{ for all } i, j\}.$$

With the obvious notion of morphism, the sheaves of \mathcal{O}_V -modules become a category.

13.2. Now let $V = \text{Spm } A$ be an affine algebraic scheme over k , and let M be a finitely generated A -module. There is a unique sheaf of \mathcal{O}_V -modules \mathcal{M} on V such that, for all $f \in A$,

$$\Gamma(D(f), \mathcal{M}) = M_f \quad (= A_f \otimes_A M)$$

(apply 10.5). Such an \mathcal{O}_V -module \mathcal{M} is said to be **coherent**. A homomorphism $M \rightarrow N$ of A -modules defines a homomorphism $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ of \mathcal{O}_V -modules, and $M \mapsto \mathcal{M}$ is a fully faithful functor from the category of finitely generated A -modules to the category of coherent \mathcal{O}_V -modules, with quasi-inverse $\mathcal{M} \mapsto \Gamma(V, \mathcal{M})$. We sometimes write \tilde{M} for the coherent \mathcal{O}_V -module defined by M .

Now consider an algebraic scheme V over k . An \mathcal{O}_V -module \mathcal{M} is said to be **coherent** if, for every open affine subset U of V , $\mathcal{M}|_U$ is coherent. It suffices to check this condition for the sets in some open affine covering of V .

This is Chapter 13 of Algebraic Geometry by J.S. Milne. Last revised November 4, 2024
Copyright ©2024 J.S. Milne. Single paper copies for noncommercial personal use may be made without explicit permission from the copyright holder.

For example, \mathcal{O}_V^n is a coherent \mathcal{O}_V -module. An \mathcal{O}_V -module \mathcal{M} is said to be **locally free of rank n** if it is locally isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_V^n , i.e., if every point $P \in V$ has an open neighbourhood such that $\mathcal{M}|U \approx \mathcal{O}_V^n$. A locally free \mathcal{O}_V -module of rank n is coherent.

13.3. For two coherent \mathcal{O}_V -modules \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} , there is a unique coherent \mathcal{O}_V -module $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_V} \mathcal{N}$ such that

$$\Gamma(U, \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_V} \mathcal{N}) = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_V)} \Gamma(U, \mathcal{N}) \quad (*)$$

for all open affines U in V . Indeed, let $U = \bigcup U_i$ with the U_i open affines, and define $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_V} \mathcal{N})$ to be the kernel of

$$\prod_i \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_V} \mathcal{N}) \rightrightarrows \prod_{i,j} \Gamma(U_{ij}, \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_V} \mathcal{N}), \quad U_{ij} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} U_i \cap U_j.$$

If the restrictions of \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} to some open affine $U = \text{Spm } A$ correspond to A -modules M and N , then $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_V} \mathcal{N}|U$ corresponds to $M \otimes_A N$. The reader should be careful not to assume that (*) holds for nonaffine open subsets U (see example 13.10 below).

13.4. For coherent \mathcal{O}_V -modules \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} , define $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_V}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ to be the presheaf on V such that

$$\Gamma(U, \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_V}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\mathcal{M}|U, \mathcal{N}|U)$$

for all open U in V . It is easy to see that this is a sheaf. If the restrictions of \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} to some open affine $U = \text{Spm } A$ correspond to A -modules M and N , then $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_V}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})|U$ is the sheaf of \mathcal{O}_U -modules defined by the A -module $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$. Hence, $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is again a coherent \mathcal{O}_V -module.

13.5. Let $v \in V$, and let \mathcal{M} be a coherent \mathcal{O}_V -module. We define a $\kappa(v)$ -module $\mathcal{M}(v)$ as follows: after replacing V with an open neighbourhood of v , we can assume that it is affine; hence we may suppose that $V = \text{Spm}(A)$, that v corresponds to a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} in A (so that $\kappa(v) = A/\mathfrak{m}$), and that \mathcal{M} corresponds to the A -module M ; we then define

$$\mathcal{M}(v) = M \otimes_A \kappa(v) = M/\mathfrak{m}M.$$

It is a finitely generated vector space over $\kappa(v)$. Do not confuse $\mathcal{M}(v)$ with the stalk \mathcal{M}_v of \mathcal{M} which, with the above notation, is $M_{\mathfrak{m}} = M \otimes_A A_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Thus

$$\mathcal{M}(v) = \mathcal{M}_v/\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{M}_v = \kappa(v) \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{m}}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

Nakayama's lemma (1.3) shows that

$$\mathcal{M}(v) = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{M}_v = 0.$$

The **support** of a coherent \mathcal{O}_V -module \mathcal{M} is

$$\text{Supp}(\mathcal{M}) = \{v \in V \mid \mathcal{M}(v) \neq 0\} = \{v \in V \mid \mathcal{M}_v \neq 0\}.$$

Suppose that V is affine, and that \mathcal{M} corresponds to the A -module M . Let \mathfrak{a} be the annihilator of M :

$$\mathfrak{a} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f \in A \mid fM = 0\}.$$

Then $M/\mathfrak{m}M \neq 0 \iff \mathfrak{m} \supset \mathfrak{a}$ (for otherwise $A/\mathfrak{m}A$ contains a nonzero element annihilating $M/\mathfrak{m}M$), and so

$$\text{Supp}(\mathcal{M}) = V(\mathfrak{a}).$$

Thus the support of a coherent module is a closed subset of V .

Note that if \mathcal{M} is locally free of rank n , then $\mathcal{M}(v)$ is a vector space of dimension n for all v . There is a converse of this.

PROPOSITION 13.6. *Assume that V is reduced. If \mathcal{M} is a coherent \mathcal{O}_V -module such that $\mathcal{M}(v)$ has constant dimension n for all $v \in V$, then \mathcal{M} is a locally free of rank n .*

PROOF. We may assume that V is affine, say, $V = \text{Spm}(A)$, and that \mathcal{M} corresponds to the finitely generated A -module M . Fix a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of A , and let x_1, \dots, x_n be elements of M whose images in $M/\mathfrak{m}M$ form a basis for it over $\kappa(v)$. Consider the map

$$\gamma : A^n \rightarrow M, \quad (a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto \sum a_i x_i.$$

Its cokernel is a finitely generated A -module whose support does not contain v . Therefore there is an element $f \in A$, $f \notin \mathfrak{m}$, such that γ defines a surjection $A_f^n \rightarrow M_f$. After replacing A with A_f we may assume that γ itself is surjective. For every maximal ideal \mathfrak{n} of A , the map $(A/\mathfrak{n})^n \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{n}M$ is surjective, and hence (because of the condition on the dimension of $\mathcal{M}(v)$) bijective. Therefore, the kernel of γ is contained in \mathfrak{n}^n (meaning $\mathfrak{n} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{n}$) for all maximal ideals \mathfrak{n} in A , and Corollary 10.21¹ shows that this implies that the kernel is zero. \square

13.7. In the above proof, we showed the following (without assume V to be reduced): let $v \in |V|$; if U is an open neighbourhood of v and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{M})$ are such that their images in $\mathcal{M}(v)$ generate it, then there is an open neighbourhood $U' \subset U$ of v such that $x_1|_{U'}, \dots, x_n|_{U'}$ generate $\mathcal{M}|_{U'}$.

13.8. With a little more effort, it is possible to prove the following more precise result. Let \mathcal{M} be a coherent \mathcal{O}_V -module on an algebraic scheme V over k . The function

$$v \mapsto \dim_{\kappa(v)} \mathcal{M}(v) : |V| \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

is upper semicontinuous, i.e., the sets

$$U_r \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{v \mid \dim_{\kappa(v)} \mathcal{M}(v) \leq r\}$$

are open for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$ (so the dimension is constant on an open subset, and jumps on closed subsets). Let r_0 be the smallest value such that U_{r_0} is nonempty. If V is reduced, then $\mathcal{M}|_{U_{r_0}}$ is locally constant of rank r_0 (by 13.5).

b. Invertible sheaves.

An **invertible sheaf** on V is a locally free \mathcal{O}_V -module \mathcal{L} of rank 1. The tensor product of two invertible sheaves is again an invertible sheaf. In this way, we get a product structure on the set of isomorphism classes of invertible sheaves:

$$[\mathcal{L}] \cdot [\mathcal{L}'] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}'].$$

The product structure is associative and commutative (because tensor products are associative and commutative, up to isomorphism), and $[\mathcal{O}_V]$ is an identity element. Define

$$\mathcal{L}^\vee = \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_V).$$

¹For a reduced k -algebra, the intersection of the maximal ideals is zero.

Clearly, \mathcal{L}^\vee is free of rank 1 over any open set where \mathcal{L} is free of rank 1, and so \mathcal{L}^\vee is again an invertible sheaf. Moreover, the canonical map

$$\mathcal{L}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_V, \quad (f, x) \mapsto f(x)$$

is an isomorphism (because it is an isomorphism over any open subset where \mathcal{L} is free). Thus

$$[\mathcal{L}^\vee][\mathcal{L}] = [\mathcal{O}_V].$$

For this reason, we often write \mathcal{L}^{-1} for \mathcal{L}^\vee .

From these remarks, we see that the set of isomorphism classes of invertible sheaves on V is a group — it is called the **Picard group**, $\text{Pic}(V)$, of V .

We say that an invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} is **trivial** if it is isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_V — then \mathcal{L} represents the zero element in $\text{Pic}(V)$.

PROPOSITION 13.9. *An invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} on a complete variety V is trivial if and only if both it and its dual have nonzero global sections, i.e.,*

$$\Gamma(V, \mathcal{L}) \neq 0 \neq \Gamma(V, \mathcal{L}^\vee).$$

PROOF. We may assume that V is irreducible. Note first that, for any \mathcal{O}_V -module \mathcal{M} on any variety V , the map

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_V, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \Gamma(V, \mathcal{M}), \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha(1)$$

is an isomorphism.

Next recall that the only regular functions on a complete variety are the constant functions (10.142), i.e., $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{O}_V) = k'$ where k' is the algebraic closure of k in $k(V)$. Hence $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{O}_V, \mathcal{O}_V) = k'$, and so a homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_V$ is either 0 or an isomorphism.

We now prove the proposition. The sections define nonzero homomorphisms

$$s_1: \mathcal{O}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{L}, \quad s_2: \mathcal{O}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\vee.$$

We can take the dual of the second homomorphism, and so obtain nonzero homomorphisms

$$\mathcal{O}_V \xrightarrow{s_1} \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{s_2^\vee} \mathcal{O}_V.$$

The composite is nonzero, and hence an isomorphism, which shows that s_2^\vee is surjective, and this implies that it is an isomorphism (for any ring A , a surjective homomorphism of A -modules $A \rightarrow A$ is bijective because 1 must map to a unit). \square

c. Invertible sheaves and divisors.

Now assume that V is nonsingular and irreducible. For a divisor D on V , the vector space $L(D)$ is defined to be

$$L(D) = \{f \in k(V)^\times \mid \text{div}(f) + D \geq 0\}.$$

We make this definition local: define $\mathcal{L}(D)$ to be the sheaf on V such that, for any open set U ,

$$\Gamma(U, \mathcal{L}(D)) = \{f \in k(V)^\times \mid \text{div}(f) + D \geq 0 \text{ on } U\} \cup \{0\}.$$

The condition “ $\text{div}(f) + D \geq 0$ on U ” means that, if $D = \sum n_Z Z$, then $\text{ord}_Z(f) + n_Z \geq 0$ for all Z with $Z \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{L}(D))$ is a $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_V)$ -module, and if $U \subset U'$, then $\Gamma(U', \mathcal{L}(D)) \subset \Gamma(U, \mathcal{L}(D))$. We define the restriction map to be this inclusion. In this way, $\mathcal{L}(D)$ becomes a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_V -modules.

Suppose D is principal on an open subset U , say $D|_U = \text{div}(g)$, $g \in k(V)^\times$. Then

$$\Gamma(U, \mathcal{L}(D)) = \{f \in k(V)^\times \mid \text{div}(fg) \geq 0 \text{ on } U\} \cup \{0\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\Gamma(U, \mathcal{L}(D)) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_V), \quad f \mapsto fg,$$

is an isomorphism. These isomorphisms clearly commute with the restriction maps for $U' \subset U$, and so we obtain an isomorphism $\mathcal{L}(D)|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U$. Since every D is locally principal, this shows that $\mathcal{L}(D)$ is locally isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_V , i.e., that it is an invertible sheaf. If D itself is principal, then $\mathcal{L}(D)$ is trivial.

Next we note that the canonical map

$$\mathcal{L}(D) \otimes \mathcal{L}(D') \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(D + D'), \quad f \otimes g \mapsto fg$$

is an isomorphism on any open set where D and D' are principal, and hence it is an isomorphism globally. Therefore, we have a homomorphism

$$\text{Div}(V) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(V), \quad D \mapsto [\mathcal{L}(D)],$$

which is zero on the principal divisors.

EXAMPLE 13.10. Let V be an elliptic curve, and let P be the point at infinity. Let D be the divisor $D = P$. Then $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{L}(D)) = k$, the ring of constant functions, but $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{L}(2D))$ contains a nonconstant function x . Therefore,

$$\Gamma(V, \mathcal{L}(2D)) \neq \Gamma(V, \mathcal{L}(D)) \otimes \Gamma(V, \mathcal{L}(D)),$$

— in other words, $\Gamma(V, \mathcal{L}(D) \otimes \mathcal{L}(D)) \neq \Gamma(V, \mathcal{L}(D)) \otimes \Gamma(V, \mathcal{L}(D))$.

PROPOSITION 13.11. *For an irreducible nonsingular variety, the map $D \mapsto [\mathcal{L}(D)]$ defines an isomorphism*

$$\text{Div}(V)/\text{PrinDiv}(V) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(V).$$

PROOF. (Injectivity). If s is an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(D)$, then $g = s(1)$ is an element of $k(V)^\times$ such that

- (a) $\text{div}(g) + D \geq 0$ (on the whole of V);
- (b) if $\text{div}(f) + D \geq 0$ on U , that is, if $f \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{L}(D))$, then $f = h(g|_U)$ for some $h \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_V)$.

Statement (a) says that $D \geq \text{div}(-g)$ (on the whole of V). Suppose U is such that $D|_U$ admits a local equation $f = 0$. When we apply (b) to $-f$, then we see that $\text{div}(-f) \leq \text{div}(g)$ on U , so that $D|_U + \text{div}(g) \geq 0$. Since the U 's cover V , together with (a) this implies that $D = \text{div}(-g)$.

(Surjectivity). Define

$$\Gamma(U, \mathcal{K}) = \begin{cases} k(V)^\times & \text{if } U \text{ is open and nonempty} \\ 0 & \text{if } U \text{ is empty.} \end{cases}$$

Because V is irreducible, \mathcal{K} becomes a sheaf with the obvious restriction maps. On any open subset U where $\mathcal{L}|_U \approx \mathcal{O}_U$, we have $\mathcal{L}|_U \otimes \mathcal{K} \approx \mathcal{K}$. Since these open sets form a covering of V , V is irreducible, and the restriction maps are all the identity map, this implies that $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{K} \approx \mathcal{K}$ on the whole of V . Choose such an isomorphism, and identify \mathcal{L} with a subsheaf of \mathcal{K} . On any U where $\mathcal{L} \approx \mathcal{O}_U$, $\mathcal{L}|_U = g\mathcal{O}_U$ as a subsheaf of \mathcal{K} , where g is the image of $1 \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_V)$. Define D to be the divisor such that, on a U , g^{-1} is a local equation for D . \square

EXAMPLE 13.12. Suppose V is affine, say $V = \text{Spm } A$. We know that coherent \mathcal{O}_V -modules correspond to finitely generated A -modules, but what do the locally free sheaves of rank n correspond to? They correspond to finitely generated *projective* A -modules (CA, 12.6). The invertible sheaves correspond to finitely generated projective A -modules of rank 1. Suppose for example that V is a curve, so that A is a Dedekind domain. This gives a new interpretation of the ideal class group: it is the group of isomorphism classes of finitely generated projective A -modules of rank one (i.e., such that $M \otimes_A K$ is a vector space of dimension one).

This can be proved directly. First show that every (fractional) ideal is a projective A -module — it is obviously finitely generated of rank one; then show that two ideals are isomorphic as A -modules if and only if they differ by a principal divisor; finally, show that every finitely generated projective A -module of rank 1 is isomorphic to a fractional ideal (by assumption $M \otimes_A K \approx K$; when we choose an identification $M \otimes_A K = K$, then $M \subset M \otimes_A K$ becomes identified with a fractional ideal). [Exercise: Prove the statements in this last paragraph.]

REMARK 13.13. Quite a lot is known about $\text{Pic}(V)$, the group of divisors modulo linear equivalence, or of invertible sheaves up to isomorphism. For example, for any complete nonsingular variety V , there is an abelian variety P canonically attached to V , called the **Picard variety** of V , and an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P(k) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(V) \rightarrow \text{NS}(V) \rightarrow 0$$

where $\text{NS}(V)$ is a finitely generated group called the Néron-Severi group.

Much less is known about algebraic cycles of codimension > 1 , and about locally free sheaves of rank > 1 (and the two do not correspond exactly, although the Chern classes of locally free sheaves are algebraic cycles).

d. Direct images and inverse images of coherent sheaves.

Consider a homomorphism $A \rightarrow B$ of rings. From an A -module M , we get a B -module $B \otimes_A M$, which is finitely generated if M is finitely generated. Conversely, a B -module M can also be considered an A -module, but it usually won't be finitely generated (unless B is finitely generated as an A -module). Both these operations extend to maps of varieties.

Consider a regular map $\alpha : W \rightarrow V$, and let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_V -modules. There is a unique coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_W -modules $\alpha^*\mathcal{F}$ with the following property: for any open affine subsets U' and U of W and V respectively such that $\alpha(U') \subset U$, $\alpha^*\mathcal{F}|_{U'}$ is the sheaf corresponding to the $\Gamma(U', \mathcal{O}_W)$ -module $\Gamma(U', \mathcal{O}_W) \otimes_{\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_V)} \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$.

Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_V -modules. For any open subset U of V , we define $\Gamma(U, \alpha_*\mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(\alpha^{-1}U, \mathcal{F})$, regarded as a $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_V)$ -module via the map $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_V) \rightarrow \Gamma(\alpha^{-1}U, \mathcal{O}_W)$. Then $U \mapsto \Gamma(U, \alpha_*\mathcal{F})$ is a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_V -modules. In general, $\alpha_*\mathcal{F}$ will not be coherent, even when \mathcal{F} is.

LEMMA 13.14. (a) For any regular maps $U \xrightarrow{\alpha} V \xrightarrow{\beta} W$ and coherent \mathcal{O}_W -module \mathcal{F} on W , there is a canonical isomorphism

$$(\beta\alpha)^*\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \alpha^*(\beta^*\mathcal{F}).$$

(b) For any regular map $\alpha : V \rightarrow W$, α^* maps locally free sheaves of rank n to locally free sheaves of rank n (hence also invertible sheaves to invertible sheaves). It preserves tensor products, and, for an invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} , $\alpha^*(\mathcal{L}^{-1}) \simeq (\alpha^*\mathcal{L})^{-1}$.

PROOF. (a) This follows from the fact that, given homomorphisms of rings $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow T$, $T \otimes_B (B \otimes_A M) = T \otimes_A M$.

(b) This again follows from well-known facts about tensor products of rings. \square

e. Vector bundles

Let V be an algebraic variety over k . The **trivial vector bundle of rank n** over V is the variety $V \times \mathbb{A}^n$ equipped with the projection map $V \times \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow V$. A pair (E, π) comprising an algebraic variety E and a (projection) morphism $\pi : E \rightarrow V$ is a **vector bundle of rank n** over V if it is locally isomorphic to the trivial vector bundle. More precisely, we require that, for some open covering $V = \bigcup U_i$, there exist isomorphisms $\varphi_i : \pi^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow U_i \times \mathbb{A}^n$ such that

(a) for each i , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^{-1}(U_i) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} & U_i \times \mathbb{A}^n \\ & \searrow \pi & \swarrow p \\ & U_i & \end{array}$$

commutes;

(b) for each i, j , the isomorphism

$$\varphi_j \circ \varphi_i^{-1} : (U_i \cap U_j) \times \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow (U_i \cap U_j) \times \mathbb{A}^n$$

is linear on the fibres, i.e., the map $(u, (a_1, \dots, a_n)) \mapsto (u, (b_1, \dots, b_n))$ is k -linear in the second variable.

A **morphism of vector bundles** $(E, p) \rightarrow (E', p')$ is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & E' \\ & \searrow p & \swarrow p' \\ & V & \end{array}$$

such that φ is linear on the fibres. A vector bundle of rank 1 is called a **line bundle**.

Let V be an algebraic variety over k . A **vector sheaf** on V is a locally free sheaf \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{O}_V -modules of finite rank. In order for a vector sheaf \mathcal{W} to be a vector subsheaf of a vector sheaf \mathcal{V} , we require that the maps $\mathcal{W}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_s$ be injective. The vector sheaves of rank n on V are exactly the sheaves of sections of vector bundles of rank n , and the vector subsheaves are exactly the sheaves of sections of the vector subbundles.

Examples

13.15 (THE TAUTOLOGICAL LINE BUNDLE). Let V be a closed subvariety of \mathbb{P}^n . A point P in V , when regarded as a point in \mathbb{P}^n , is a line l_P in \mathbb{A}^{n+1} . For the tautological line bundle, the fibre over P is the line l_P . As a set,

$$B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(a, P) \in \mathbb{A}^{n+1} \times V \mid a \in l_P\}.$$

A global section of B is a regular map $P \mapsto (P, s(P))$ with $s(P) \in \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$. As there are no nonconstant maps $V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ (10.142), such a section must be zero.

To be continued.